



**10 October 2008**

Chairman  
Australian Energy Market Commission  
PO Box A2449  
Sydney South NSW 1235

By Email: [submissions@aemc.gov.au](mailto:submissions@aemc.gov.au)

Dear Dr Tamblyn

Proposed Rule change: Performance Standards Compliance of Generators

AGL, as part of the NGF responded positively to the Draft Determination by the AEMC on the NGF proposed rule change in relation to Performance Standards Compliance of Generators. We thought that the proposal was well accepted.

We note the general acceptance of the NGF approach from respondents to the Draft Determination (except for a curious submission by Roaring 40s). The NGF is therefore concerned that the AEMC would seek to fundamentally change the approach this late in the day. The proposed modification would:

- Destroy the quality assurance approach established by the NGF proposal and substitute a static, bureaucratic approval process
- Require the AER to both approve and assess compliance with compliance plans; and
- Give the AER an alarming power to second-guess generators and impose compliance plans on plants.

The NGF extensively consulted on its approach, highlighting the differences between that approach to the one proposed by the AEMC to the MCE during our consultation process. The NGF approach was accepted by the SCO, who were also involved in drafting key sections. The NGF is therefore unsure why the AEMC seeks to include its own Rule change within the NGF proposal.

We believe the NGF approach:

- Maintains the focus on good electricity industry practice by both:
  - allowing it to be defined in advance; and
  - requiring it to be modified in light of experience
- sets up a quality assurance approach that keeps compliance plans up to date with a clear template, obligations on generators to comply and the ability for the AER to assess compliance in advance of issues; and
- separates the AER role of assessing compliance from the technical role of defining good electricity industry practice and developing the plans, while still ensuring oversight.

### *Focus on good electricity industry practice*

The current rules do not have an approval step. Generators, NEMMCO and TNSPs have to agree on the plans – essentially they agree on what is good electricity industry practice. To speed the process a template was developed by NEMMCO, the AER and TNSPs and accepted by the NGF. Subsequently the NGF and NEMMCO have refined the template to provide broader coverage for new technology and different types of plants.

The NGF proposal is designed to ensure that good electricity industry practice is articulated in advance and in a way that all plant types can select appropriate compliance regimes. The use of an approved template allows verification that compliance plan represents good electricity industry practice so that:

- a generator can be comfortable that they are compliant;
- the market can be comfortable that the requirements for compliance are clearly defined; and
- the AER can test compliance on an ongoing basis and in advance of issues developing.

### *Quality Assurance approach*

The NGF approach recognises that good electricity industry practice is not fixed. The NGF therefore did not propose a fixed compliance plan process. AGL supports the ongoing requirement to modify a plan, based on market experience and investigations, is important. The AEMC modification will thwart this process.

The quality assurance approach was supported by all consulted parties prior to submission of the proposal, including the SCO.

### *Separation of the AER role of compliance assessment from an approval role*

Currently, the AER have a compliance role, which allows them to examine compliance plans and give an assessment of whether they achieve good electricity industry practice. The routine auditing of power stations commenced this year by the AER, coupled with the investigations of system events, allows cost effective checking of generator compliance. This does not need to be further codified.

The NGF proposal strengthens the current approach by getting the Reliability Panel to bring the relevant parties together to agree on good electricity practice and encapsulate that in a template. The AER is able to verify before or after a system event whether a generator is compliant during its audit process. An approval step is therefore not required.

The AEMC proposal also has the potential to place the AER in an untenable position if it substitutes its own plan in place of that put forward by the generator. In this case the responsibility currently put on the generator to continuously maintain an appropriate plan transfers to the AER. It would be up to the AER to determine when it either hands back the responsibility to the generators for upgrading the plan or varies it as the template is changed.

The AEMC proposal therefore would require the AER to become experts in the technical operation of the power system. This is both inefficient and unnecessary.

- > Being selected as a member of the Dow Jones Sustainability Index 2006/07
- > Gaining accreditation under the National GreenPower Accreditation Program for AGL Green Energy®, AGL Green Living® and AGL Green Spirit
- > Being selected as a constituent of the FTSE4Good Index Series



AGL is assisting the NGF in preparing its submission to this consultation and supports that submission.

Please contact me on (03) 8633 6026 or by email to [acruicks@agl.com.au](mailto:acruicks@agl.com.au) if you have any questions on this submission.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Alex Cruickshank', is positioned below the text 'Yours sincerely'.

Alex Cruickshank  
Manager Wholesale Markets Regulation  
AGL Energy

- > Being selected as a member of the Dow Jones Sustainability Index 2006/07
- > Gaining accreditation under the National GreenPower Accreditation Program for AGL Green Energy®, AGL Green Living® and AGL Green Spirit
- > Being selected as a constituent of the FTSE4Good Index Series