Transmission access reform

Stakeholder feedback template

The template below has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the questions posed in the consultation paper and any other issues that they would like to provide feedback on. The AEMC encourages stakeholders to use this template to assist it to consider the views expressed by stakeholders on each issue. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

SUBMITTER DETAILS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ORGANISATION:** |       |
| **CONTACT NAME:** |       |
| **EMAIL:** |       |
| **PHONE:** |       |
| **DATE** |       |

PROJECT DETAILS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME OF REVIEW:** | **Transmission access reform** |
| **PROJECT CODE:** | **EPR0098** |
| **SUBMISSION DUE DATE:** | **6 June 2024** |

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Testing and modelling the hybrid model

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question 1: Feedback on cost benefit analysis conducted in 2023**What are stakeholder views on the assumptions used in the CBA? |       |
| **Question 2: Feedback on prototyping**What are stakeholder views on the result of the prototyping analysis? Is there any additional analysis that would be useful? |       |
| **Question 3: Feedback on modelling the hybrid model**Noting that this work is still being completed, do stakeholders have any initial views on how modelling priority access would impact investment decisions? |       |

Assessment of key model options

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question 4: Assessment of priority access allocation models**Each model option outlined in this section addresses the problem and reform objectives to different degrees. Which model option do you prefer and why? |       |
| **Question 5: Assessment of CRM implementation approaches**What are the relative advantages and disadvantages of each design?Do stakeholders have a preferred design and if so, why? |       |

Key stakeholder concerns

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question 6: Feedback on impact of the hybrid model on PPAs?**What are stakeholder views on the observations and AEMC initial views regarding impacts of the hybrid model on PPAs? |       |
| **Question 7: Feedback on impacts of the hybrid model on financial markets**What are stakeholder views on the impacts of the hybrid model on financial markets? Specifically:* How the proposed access model, or particular aspect(s) of the model, may impact their ability to manage price risk in the market?
* The subsequent impact that a reduced ability to manage price risk may then have on participants’ hedging costs.
 |       |
| **Question 8: Feedback on wide-reaching constraints**Do stakeholders consider that priority access could increase investment risk due to wide-reaching constraints?Do stakeholders consider that there is value in implementing the dynamic grouping option for priority access to mitigate this concern? |       |

Detailed design questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question 9: Feedback on detailed priority access design choices**What are stakeholder views on the detailed priority access design questions and the AEMC's preferred positions? |       |
| **Question 10: Feedback on detailed CRM design choices**Do stakeholders have further views on the detailed design choices for the CRM that were explored by the ESB? Are these views related to a preference for a two-step or co-optimised implementation approach discussed in Chapter 5?What are stakeholder views on tethering, including the relative advantages and disadvantages of each design and any preference? |       |

Other comments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Information on additional issues |       |