

First Name: [REDACTED]

Last Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

Comments: I support the comments by environmental lawyer David Barnden on the lack of concern about climate change risks, viz:

'We're very disappointed and slightly confused that the AEMC in its draft determination thought climate change risks were not relevant. It's a simple case that this \$11 billion company, who issues notes on the Singapore Stock Exchange, says precisely that in its Singapore Stock Exchange filing. It says that climate change will have an impact on gas supply. I'd be interested in Jemena's views on whether they think the Commission is right or they're right.

There's a real disconnect, so cognitive (indistinct) in what the AEMC says about climate change, and its refusal to engage with that issue in regards to the National Gas Objective. It's untenable, you have government departments like APRA saying climate change is a real risk for a lot of investments. It fits nearly into existing prudential standards and we just have the AEMC completely ignoring that, and I don't know why. It's unbelievable in this day and age.'